

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 KURT A. BENSHOOF; A.R.W. by and through
9 his father, KURT A. BENSHOOF,

10 No. 2:24-cv-00808-JHC

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 ORDER

14 MARSHALL FERGUSON, J. DOE, BLAIR
15 RUSS, JESSICA SKELTON, MICHAEL
16 TRACY, SARAH TURNER, JAMAL
17 WHITEHEAD,

18 Defendants.

19 Before the Court is pro se Plaintiffs' "Motion for Reconsideration of Court Orders Issued on
20 October 1, 2024, and October 7, 2024, and Motion for Finding of Fact and Conclusion of Law and
21 Motion for Jurisdictional Discovery Under FRCP 26(d)(1) . . . and Motion to Strike Esponse [sic]
22 by Peggy Wu." Dkt. # 50.

23 Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration is denied for two reasons. First, it is untimely under
24 LCR 7(h)(2), which requires filing within 14 days of the order at issue. Second, even if it were
25 timely, it neither shows "manifest error" nor "new facts or legal authority" that would make a
26 difference in the prior rulings.

27 Plaintiffs' request for "Finding of Fact and Conclusion of Law" is denied. Findings of fact
28 and conclusions of law were not required with respect to the Orders at Dkt. ## 45 or 48.

1 Furthermore, those Orders make clear that the requests for stay at issue were denied for reasons
2 presented by Defendants.

3 Plaintiffs' request for jurisdictional discovery is denied. Plaintiffs do not explain that there
4 is a jurisdictional issue for which discovery would be appropriate. In any event, it does not appear
5 that any Defendant is presently seeking a dismissal on jurisdictional grounds.

6 Finally, the request to strike all filings by Peggy Wu, an attorney at the King County
7 Prosecuting Attorney's Office who represents King County Superior Court Judge Marshall
8 Ferguson, is patently without merit and is denied.

9 For the foregoing reasons, the Court DENIES the motions.

10 DATED this 18th day of November, 2024.

11 
12 JOHN H. CHUN
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20
21
22
23